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#### Abstract

Multiple Hermite polynomials are an extension of the classical Hermite polynomials for which orthogonality conditions are imposed with respect to $r>1$ normal (Gaussian) weights $w_{j}(x)=e^{-x^{2}+c_{j} x}$ with different means $c_{j} / 2,1 \leq j \leq r$. These polynomials have a number of properties such as a Rodrigues formula, recurrence relations (connecting polynomials with nearest neighbor multi-indices), a differential equation, etc. The asymptotic distribution of the (scaled) zeros is investigated, and an interesting new feature is observed: depending on the distance between the $c_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq r$, the zeros may accumulate on $s$ disjoint intervals, where $1 \leq s \leq r$. We will use the zeros of these multiple Hermite polynomials to approximate integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \exp \left(-x^{2}+c_{j} x\right) d x$ simultaneously for $1 \leq j \leq r$ for the case $r=3$ and the situation when the zeros accumulate on three disjoint intervals. We also give some properties of the corresponding quadrature weights.
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1. Simultaneous Gauss quadrature. Let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}$ be $r \geq 1$ weight functions on $\mathbb{R}$ and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Simultaneous quadrature is a numerical method to approximate $r$ integrals

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) w_{j}(x) d x, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r
$$

by sums

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_{k, N}^{(j)} f\left(x_{k, N}\right)
$$

at the same $N$ points $\left\{x_{k, N}, 1 \leq k \leq N\right\}$ but with weights $\left\{\lambda_{k, N}^{(j)}, 1 \leq k \leq N\right\}$ which depend on $j$. This was described by Borges [3] in 1994 but was originally suggested by Aurel Angelescu [1] in 1918, whose work seems to have gone unnoticed. The past few decades, it became clear that this is closely related to multiple orthogonal polynomials in a similar way as Gaussian quadrature is related to orthogonal polynomials. The motivation in [3] involved a color signal $f$, which can be transmitted using three colors: red-green-blue (RGB). For this we need the amount of $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{B}$ in $f$ given by

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) w_{R}(x) d x, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) w_{G}(x) d x, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) w_{B}(x) d x
$$

A natural question is whether this can be calculated with $N$ function evaluations and a maximum degree of accuracy. If we choose $n$ points for each integral and then use Gaussian quadrature, then this would require $3 n$ function evaluations for a degree of accuracy of $2 n-1$. A better choice is to choose the zeros of the multiple orthogonal polynomials $P_{n, n, n}$ for the

[^0]weights $\left(w_{R}, w_{G}, w_{B}\right)$ and then use interpolatory quadrature. This again requires $3 n$ function evaluations, but the degree of accuracy is increased to $4 n-1$. We will call this method based on the zeros of multiple orthogonal polynomials the simultaneous Gaussian quadrature method. Some interesting research problems for simultaneous Gaussian quadrature are:

- To find the multiple orthogonal polynomials when the weights $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}$ are given.
- To study the location and computation of the zeros of the multiple orthogonal polynomials.
- To study the behavior and computation of the weights $\lambda_{k, N}^{(j)}$.
- To investigate the convergence of the quadrature rules.

Part of this research has already been started in [4, 5, 8, 9, 13] , but there is still a lot to be done in this field.
2. Multiple orthogonal polynomials. Let us introduce multiple orthogonal polynomials.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{r}$ be $r$ positive measures on $\mathbb{R}$, and let $\vec{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ be a multi-index in $\mathbb{N}^{r}$. The (type II) multiple orthogonal polynomial $P_{\vec{n}}$ is the monic polynomial of degree $|\vec{n}|=n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{r}$ that satisfies the orthogonality conditions

$$
\int x^{k} P_{\vec{n}}(x) d \mu_{j}(x)=0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n_{j}-1
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq r$.
Such a monic polynomial may not exist or may not be unique. One needs conditions on (the moments of) the measures $\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{r}\right)$. Two important cases have been introduced for which all the multiple orthogonal polynomials exist and are unique. The measures $\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{r}\right)$ are an Angelesco system if $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{j}\right) \subset \Delta_{j}$, where the $\Delta_{j}$ are intervals which are pairwise disjoint: $\Delta_{i} \cap \Delta_{j}=\emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$.

THEOREM 2.2 (Angelesco). For an Angelesco system the multiple orthogonal polynomials exist for every multi-index $\vec{n}$. Furthermore, $P_{\vec{n}}$ has $n_{j}$ simple zeros in each interval $\Delta_{j}$.

For a proof, see [10, Chapter 4, Proposition 3.3] or [6, Theorem 23.1.3]. The behavior of the quadrature weights for simultaneous Gaussian quadrature is known for this case (see [10, Chapter 4, Proposition 3.5], [8, Theorem 1.1]):

THEOREM 2.3. The quadrature weights $\lambda_{k, n}^{(j)}$ are positive for the $n_{j}$ zeros on $\Delta_{j}$. The remaining quadrature weights have alternating sign with those for the zeros closest to the interval $\Delta_{j}$ being positive.

Another important case is when the measures form an AT-system. The weight functions $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right)$ are an algebraic Chebyshev system (AT-system) on $[a, b]$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}, x w_{1}, x^{2} w_{1}, \ldots, x^{n_{1}-1} w_{1}, w_{2}, x w_{2}, x^{2} w^{2}, \ldots, x^{n_{2}-1} w_{2}, \ldots \\
& w_{r}, x w_{r}, x^{2} w_{r}, \ldots, x^{n_{r}-1} w_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

are a Chebyshev system on $[a, b]$ for every $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{r}$.
THEOREM 2.4. For an AT-system the multiple orthogonal polynomials exist for every multi-index $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$. Furthermore, $P_{\vec{n}}$ has $|\vec{n}|$ simple zeros on the interval $[a, b]$.

For a proof, see [10, Chapter 4, Corollary of Theorem 4.3] or [6, Theorem 23.1.4].
3. Multiple Hermite polynomials. We will consider the weight functions

$$
w_{j}(x)=e^{-x^{2}+c_{j} x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

with real parameters $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{j}$ such that $c_{i} \neq c_{j}$ whenever $i \neq j$. These weights are proportional to normal weights with means at $c_{j} / 2$ and variance $\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{2}$. They form an AT-system, and the corresponding multiple orthogonal polynomials are known as multiple Hermite polynomials $H_{\vec{n}}$. They can be obtained by using the Rodrigues formula

$$
e^{-x^{2}} H_{\vec{n}}(x)=(-1)^{|\vec{n}|} 2^{-|\vec{n}|}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} e^{-c_{j} x} \frac{d^{n_{j}}}{d x^{n_{j}}} e^{c_{j} x}\right) e^{-x^{2}}
$$

from which one can find the explicit expression

$$
H_{\vec{n}}(x)=(-1)^{|\vec{n}|} 2^{-|\vec{n}|} \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{n_{1}} \cdots \sum_{k_{r}=0}^{n_{r}}\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}} \cdots\binom{n_{r}}{k_{r}} c_{1}^{n_{1}-k_{1}} \cdots c_{r}^{n_{r}-k_{r}}(-1)^{|\vec{k}|} H_{|\vec{k}|}(x)
$$

see [6, Section 23.5]. Multiple orthogonal polynomials satisfy a system of recurrence relations connecting the nearest neighbors. For multiple Hermite polynomials one has

$$
x H_{\vec{n}}(x)=H_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_{k}}(x)+\frac{c_{k}}{2} H_{\vec{n}}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r} n_{j} H_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_{j}}(x), \quad 1 \leq k \leq r
$$

where $\vec{e}_{1}=(1,0,0, \ldots, 0), \vec{e}_{2}=(0,1,0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, \vec{e}_{r}=(0,0, \ldots, 0,1)$. They also have interesting differential relations such as $r$ raising operators

$$
\left(e^{-x^{2}+c_{j} x} H_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_{j}}(x)\right)^{\prime}=-2 e^{-x^{2}+c_{j} x} H_{\vec{n}}(x), \quad 1 \leq j \leq r
$$

and a lowering operator

$$
H_{\vec{n}}^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} n_{j} H_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_{j}}(x)
$$

see [6, Equations (23.8.5)-(23.8.6)]. Combining these raising operators and the lowering operator gives a differential equation of order $r+1$,

$$
\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} D_{j}\right) D H_{\vec{n}}(x)=-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} n_{j} \prod_{i \neq j} D_{j}\right) H_{\vec{n}}(x)
$$

where

$$
D=\frac{d}{d x}, \quad D_{j}=e^{x^{2}-c_{j} x} D e^{-x^{2}+c_{j} x}=D+\left(-2 x+c_{j}\right) I
$$

From now on we deal with the case $r=3$ and weights $c_{1}=-c, c_{2}=0, c_{3}=c$ :

$$
w_{1}(x)=e^{-x^{2}-c x}, \quad w_{2}(x)=e^{-x^{2}}, \quad w_{3}(x)=e^{-x^{2}+c x}
$$

3.1. Zeros. Let $x_{1,3 n}<\ldots<x_{3 n, 3 n}$ be the zeros of $H_{n, n, n}$. First we will show that for $c$ large enough, the zeros of $H_{n, n, n}$ lie on three disjoint intervals around $-c / 2,0$, and $c / 2$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. For c sufficiently large (e.g., $c>4 \sqrt{4 n+1}$ ) the zeros of $H_{n, n, n}$ are in three disjoint intervals $I_{1} \cup I_{2} \cup I_{3}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\left[-\frac{c}{2}-\sqrt{4 n+1},-\frac{c}{2}+\sqrt{4 n+1}\right], \quad I_{2}=[-\sqrt{4 n+1}, \sqrt{4 n+1}] \\
I_{3} & =\left[\frac{c}{2}-\sqrt{4 n+1}, \frac{c}{2}+\sqrt{4 n+1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and each interval contains $n$ simple zeros.
Proof. Suppose $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are the sign changes of $H_{n, n, n}$ on $I_{3}$ and that $m<n$. Let $\pi_{m}(x)=\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{m}\right)$. Then $H_{n, n, n}(x) \pi_{m}(x)$ does not change sign on $I_{3}$. By the multiple orthogonality one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{n, n, n}(x) \pi_{m}(x) e^{-x^{2}+c x} d x=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $H_{n, n, n}(x) \pi_{m}(x)$ is positive on $I_{3}$. Then by the infinite-finite range inequalities (see, e.g., [7, Chapter 4, Theorem 4.1], where we take $Q(x)=x^{2}-c x, p=1, t=4 n+1$ so that $\Delta_{t}=I_{3}$ ), one has

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash I_{3}}\left|H_{n, n, n}(x) \pi_{m}(x)\right| e^{-x^{2}+c x} d x<\int_{I_{3}} H_{n, n, n}(x) \pi_{m}(x) e^{-x^{2}+c x} d x
$$

so that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash I_{3}} H_{n, n, n}(x) \pi_{m}(x) e^{-x^{2}+c x} d x>-\int_{I_{3}} H_{n, n, n}(x) \pi_{m}(x) e^{-x^{2}+c x} d x
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{n, n, n}(x) \pi_{m}(x) e^{-x^{2}+c x} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{I_{3}} H_{n, n, n}(x) \pi_{m}(x) e^{-x^{2}+c x} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash I_{3}} H_{n, n, n}(x) \pi_{m}(x) e^{-x^{2}+c x} d x>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is in contradiction with (3.1). This means that our assumption that $m<n$ is false, and hence $m \geq n$. We can repeat the reasoning for $I_{2}$ and $I_{1}$, and since $H_{n, n, n}$, is a polynomial of degree $3 n$, we must conclude that each interval contains $n$ zeros of $H_{n, n, n}$, which are all simple. Clearly the three intervals are disjoint when $c>4 \sqrt{4 n+1} . \quad \square$

This result shows that for large $c$, the multiple Hermite polynomials behave very much like an Angelesco system, i.e., multiple orthogonal polynomials for which the orthogonality conditions are on disjoint intervals. Some results for simultaneous Gauss quadrature for Angelesco systems were proved earlier in [10, Chapter 4, Propositions 3.4 and 3.5] and [8]. In this paper we will show that similar results are true for multiple Hermite polynomials when $c$ is large.

The intervals $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ are in fact a bit too large because they were obtained by using the infinite-finite range inequalities for one weight only and not for the three weights simultaneously. In order to study the zeros in more detail, we will take the parameter $c$ proportional to $\sqrt{n}$ and scale the zeros by a factor $\sqrt{n}$ as well. This amounts to investigating the polynomials $H_{n, n, n}(\sqrt{n} x)$ with $c=\sqrt{n} \hat{c}$. In order to find for which values of $c$ the zeros are accumulating
on three disjoint intervals as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we investigate the asymptotic distribution of the zeros. Our main theorem in this section is

THEOREM 3.2. There exists a $c^{*}>0$ such that for the zeros of $H_{n, n, n}$ with $c=\sqrt{n} \hat{c}$ one has

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3 n} \sum_{j=1}^{3 n} f\left(\frac{x_{j, 3 n}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=\int f(x) v(x) d x
$$

where $v$ is a probability density supported on three intervals $[-b,-a] \cup[-d, d] \cup[a, b]$ $(0<d<a<b)$ when $\hat{c}>c^{*}$, and $v$ is supported on one interval $[-b, b]$ when $\hat{c}<c^{*}$. The numerical value is $c^{*}=4.10938818$.

Such a phase transition when the zeros cluster on one interval when the parameters are close together or on two intervals when the parameters are far apart was first observed and proved for $r=2$ by Bleher and Kuijlaars [2].


FIG. 3.1. The weight functions and the zeros of $H_{10,10,10}$ for $c=15$ (left) and $c=5$ (right).

Proof. The differential equation for $y=H_{n, n, n}(x)$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}-6 x y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(12 x^{2}-c^{2}-6\right) y^{\prime \prime}+ & {\left[8 x^{3}+\left(2 c^{2}+12\right) x\right] y^{\prime} } \\
& =-2 n\left[3 y^{\prime \prime}-12 x y^{\prime}+\left(12 x^{2}-c^{2}-6\right) y\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The scaling amounts to studying zeros of $H_{n, n, n}(\sqrt{n} x)$, and these are multiple orthogonal polynomials for the weight functions

$$
w_{1}(x)=e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)}, \quad w_{2}(x)=e^{-n x^{2}}, \quad w_{3}(x)=e^{-n\left(x^{2}-\hat{c} x\right)}
$$

Consider the rational function

$$
S_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{H_{n, n, n}^{\prime}(\sqrt{n} z)}{H_{n, n, n}(\sqrt{n} z)}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{3 n} \frac{1}{z-\frac{x_{j, 3 n}}{\sqrt{n}}}=\int \frac{d \mu_{n}(x)}{z-x}
$$

where $\mu_{n}$ is the discrete measure with mass $1 / n$ at each scaled zero $x_{j, 3 n} / \sqrt{n}$ :

$$
\mu_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{3 n} \delta_{x_{j, 3 n} / \sqrt{n}}
$$

The sequence $\left(S_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of analytic functions which is uniformly bounded on every compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$, hence by Montel's theorem there exists a subsequence $\left(S_{n_{k}}\right)_{k}$ that converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ to an analytic function $S$, and also its derivatives converge uniformly on these compact subsets:

$$
S_{n_{k}} \rightarrow S, \quad S_{n_{k}}^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime}, \quad S_{n_{k}}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime \prime}, \quad S_{n_{k}}^{\prime \prime \prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime \prime \prime}
$$

Since each $S_{n}$ is a Stieltjes transform of a positive measure (with total mass 3), the limit is of the form

$$
S(z)=3 \int \frac{d \mu(x)}{z-x} d x
$$

with $\mu$ a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ that describes the asymptotic distribution of the scaled zeros, and $\mu_{n}$ converges weakly to the measure $3 \mu$ for the chosen subsequence. This function $S$ may depend on the selected subsequence $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k}$, but we will show that every convergent subsequence has the same limit $S$. Observe that

$$
H_{n, n, n}^{\prime}(\sqrt{n} z)=\sqrt{n} S_{n} H_{n, n, n}(\sqrt{n} z)
$$

from which we can find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{n, n, n}^{\prime \prime}(\sqrt{n} z)=\left(S_{n}^{\prime}+n S_{n}^{2}\right) H_{n, n, n}(\sqrt{n} z) \\
& H_{n, n, n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\sqrt{n} z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(S_{n}^{\prime \prime}+3 n S_{n}^{\prime} S_{n}+n^{2} S_{n}^{3}\right) H_{n, n, n}(\sqrt{n} z) \\
& H_{n, n, n}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(\sqrt{n} z)=\frac{1}{n}\left(S_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+4 n S_{n}^{\prime \prime} S_{n}+3 n\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+6 n^{2} S_{n}^{2} S_{n}^{\prime}+n^{3} S_{n}^{4}\right) H_{n, n, n}(\sqrt{n} z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Put this into the differential equation (with $x=\sqrt{n} z$ and $c=\sqrt{n} \hat{c}$ ). Then as $n=n_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{4}-6 z S^{3}+\left(12 z^{2}-\hat{c}^{2}+6\right) S^{2}+\left(-8 z^{3}+2 \hat{c}^{2} z-24 z\right) S+2\left(12 z^{2}-\hat{c}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an algebraic equation of order 4, and hence it has four solutions $S_{(1)}, S_{(2)}, S_{(3)}, S_{(4)}$. A careful analysis of these solutions and equation (3.2) near infinity shows that for $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{(1)}(z)=\frac{3}{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right), & S_{(2)}(z)=2 z+\hat{c}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \\
S_{(3)}(z)=2 z+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), & S_{(4)}(z)=2 z-\hat{c}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)
\end{array}
$$

We are therefore interested in $S_{(1)}(z)$ since it gives the required Stieltjes transform

$$
S_{(1)}(z)=S(z)=3 \int \frac{d \mu(x)}{z-x} d x
$$

The algebraic equation is independent of the selected subsequence, which implies that every subsequence $\left(S_{n_{k}}\right)_{k}$ has the same limit, which in turn implies that the full sequence $\left(S_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges to this limit $S$. The measure $\mu$ can be retrieved by using the Stieltjes-Perron inversion theorem

$$
\mu((a, b))+\frac{1}{2} \mu(\{a\})+\mu(\{b\})=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{S(x-i \epsilon)-S(x+i \epsilon)}{3} d x
$$

If $\mu$ has no mass points, then the density $v$ of $\mu$ is given by

$$
v(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{S(x-i \epsilon)-S(x+i \epsilon)}{3}
$$

Hence the support of the density $v$ is given by the set on $\mathbb{R}$ where $S$ has a jump discontinuity. This can be analyzed by investigating the discriminant of the algebraic expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& 256 \hat{c}^{6} z^{6}-128 \hat{c}^{4}\left(\hat{c}^{4}+18 \hat{c}^{2}-18\right) z^{4} \\
& \quad+16 \hat{c}^{2}\left(\hat{c}^{8}+12 \hat{c}^{6}+240 \hat{c}^{4}-1008 \hat{c}^{2}+432\right) z^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \quad-32 \hat{c}^{2}\left(\hat{c}^{2}+4 \hat{c}+6\right)^{2}\left(\hat{c}^{2}-4 \hat{c}+6\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

This is a polynomial of degree 6 in the variable $z$. The support of $v$ is where this polynomial is negative. There is a phase transition from one interval to three intervals when the $z$-polynomial (3.3) has two double roots. This happens when the discriminant of the


FIG. 3.2. The polynomial (3.3) for $\hat{c}=2$ (left), $\hat{c}=\hat{c}^{*}$ (middle), and $\hat{c}=8$ (right).
$z$-polynomial (3.3) is zero:

$$
\left(\hat{c}^{2}-4 \hat{c}+6\right)^{2} \hat{c}^{32}\left(\hat{c}^{2}+2\right)^{4}\left(\hat{c}^{2}+4 \hat{c}+6\right)^{2}\left(\hat{c}^{6}-\frac{27}{2} \hat{c}^{4}-54 \hat{c}^{2}-54\right)^{6}=0 .
$$

The only positive real zero is the positive real root of

$$
\hat{c}^{6}-\frac{27}{2} \hat{c}^{4}-54 \hat{c}^{2}-54=0
$$

and this is $c^{*}=4.10938818$.
Observe that the phase transition $c^{*}$ is at a smaller value than the one suggested by Proposition 3.1, which would give the value 8. As mentioned before, this is because in Proposition 3.1 we used the infinite-finite range inequalities for one single weight and not for the three weights simultaneously.
4. Some potential theory. From now one we assume that $\hat{c}>c^{*}=4.10938818$. The Stieltjes transform of the asymptotic zero distribution is

$$
3 \int \frac{v(x)}{z-x} d x=S(z)=\int_{-b}^{-a} \frac{d \nu_{1}(x)}{z-x}+\int_{-d}^{d} \frac{d \nu_{2}(x)}{z-x}+\int_{a}^{b} \frac{d \nu_{3}(x)}{z-x}
$$

The measures $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}$ are unit measures that are minimizing the expression

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{i, j} I\left(\mu_{i}, \mu_{j}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \int V_{i}(x) d \mu_{i}(x)
$$

over all unit measures $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}$ supported on $\mathbb{R}$, with

$$
I\left(\mu_{i}, \mu_{j}\right)=\iint \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d \mu_{i}(x) d \mu_{j}(x), \quad C=\left(c_{i, j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 \\
1 / 2 & 1 & 1 / 2 \\
1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
V_{1}(x)=x^{2}+\hat{c} x, \quad V_{2}(x)=x^{2}, \quad V_{3}(x)=x^{2}-\hat{c} x .
$$

This is the vector equilibrium problem for an Angelesco system [10, Chapter 5, Section 6]. Define the logarithmic potential

$$
U(x ; \mu)=\int \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d \mu(y) .
$$

The variational conditions for this vector equilibrium problem are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
2 U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)+V_{1}(x)=\ell_{1}, & x \in[-b,-a], \\
2 U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)+V_{1}(x) \geq \ell_{1}, & x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash[-b,-a], \\
U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+2 U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)+V_{2}(x)=\ell_{2}, & x \in[-d, d], \\
U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+2 U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)+V_{2}(x) \geq \ell_{2}, & x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash[-d, d], \\
U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+2 U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)+V_{3}(x)=\ell_{3}, & x \in[a, b], \\
U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+2 U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)+V_{3}(x) \geq \ell_{3}, & x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash[a, b], \tag{4.6}
\end{array}
$$

where $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}$ are constants (Lagrange multipliers). As an example, we have plotted these functions in Figure 4.1 for $\hat{c}=6$. The measures $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}$ give the asymptotic distribution of


FIG. 4.1. $2 U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)+V_{1}(x)$ (left), $U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+2 U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)+V_{2}(x)$ (middle), $U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+2 U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)+V_{3}(x)$ (right).
the (scaled) zeros of $H_{n, n, n}$ on the intervals $[-b,-a],[-d, d]$, and $[a, b]$, respectively. They are absolutely continuous, and their densities can be found from the jumps of an algebraic function $\xi$ on the real line. The function $\xi$ satisfies the algebraic equation

$$
\xi^{4}-2 z \hat{c} \xi^{3}+\left(6-\hat{c}^{2}\right) \xi^{2}+2 \hat{c}^{2} z \xi-2 \hat{c}^{2}=0,
$$

which has four solutions $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \xi_{4}$, which behave near infinity as

$$
\begin{array}{llrl}
\xi_{1}(z)=2 z-\frac{3}{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right), & \xi_{2}(z)=-\hat{c}+\frac{1}{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right), \\
\xi_{3}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right), & \xi_{4}(z)=\hat{c}+\frac{1}{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The densities $\nu_{1}^{\prime}, \nu_{2}^{\prime}, \nu_{3}^{\prime}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{1}^{\prime}(x) & =-\frac{\left(\xi_{2}\right)_{+}(x)-\left(\xi_{2}\right)_{-}(x)}{2 \pi i}, \quad \nu_{2}^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{\left(\xi_{3}\right)_{+}(x)-\left(\xi_{3}\right)_{-}(x)}{2 \pi i} \\
\nu_{3}^{\prime}(x) & =-\frac{\left(\xi_{4}\right)_{+}(x)-\left(\xi_{4}\right)_{-}(x)}{2 \pi i}
\end{aligned}
$$

The relation between the algebraic function $S$ from (3.2) is given by

$$
S=\frac{2}{\xi}+\frac{2}{\xi+\hat{c}}+\frac{2}{\xi-\hat{c}} .
$$

The Stieltjes transforms of $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}$ are related to the solutions of (3.2) by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{(1)}(z)=\int_{-b}^{-a} \frac{d \nu_{1}(x)}{z-x}+\int_{-d}^{d} \frac{d \nu_{2}(x)}{z-x}+\int_{a}^{b} \frac{d \nu_{3}(x)}{z-x}, & S_{(3)}(z)=2 z-\int_{-d}^{d} \frac{d \nu_{2}(x)}{z-x} \\
S_{(2)}(z)=2 z+\hat{c}-\int_{-b}^{-a} \frac{d \nu_{1}(x)}{z-x}, & S_{(4)}(z)=2 z-\hat{c}-\int_{a}^{b} \frac{d \nu_{3}(x)}{z-x}
\end{array}
$$

5. The quadrature weights. Recall that for polynomials $f$ of degree $\leq 4 n-1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x & =\sum_{k=1}^{3 n} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)} f\left(x_{k, 3 n}\right),  \tag{5.1}\\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-n x^{2}} d x & =\sum_{k=1}^{3 n} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)} f\left(x_{k, 3 n}\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}-\hat{c} x\right)} d x & =\sum_{k=1}^{3 n} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(3)} f\left(x_{k, 3 n}\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $x_{k, 3 n}$ are the zeros of $H_{n, n, n}(x)=p_{n}(x) q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x)$, where $p_{n}$ has its zeros on $[-b,-a], q_{n}$ on $[-d, d]$, and $r_{n}$ on $[a, b]$. Take $f(x)=\pi_{2 n-1}(x) q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x)$ with $\pi_{2 n-1}$ of degree $\leq 2 n-1$. Then (5.1) gives

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \pi_{2 n-1}(x) q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)} q_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) \pi_{2 n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

This is the Gaussian quadrature formula for the weight function $q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)}$ with quadrature nodes at the zeros of $p_{n}$. So we have

LEMMA 5.1. The first $n$ quadrature weights for the first integral (5.1) are

$$
\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)} q_{n}\left(x_{k, 3 n}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k, 3 n}\right)=\lambda_{k, n}\left(q_{n} r_{n} d \mu_{1}\right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n
$$

where $\lambda_{k, n}\left(q_{n} r_{n} d \mu_{1}\right)$ are the usual Christoffel numbers of Gaussian quadrature for the weight $q_{n}(x) p_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)}$ on $\mathbb{R}$.

For the middle $n$ quadrature weights and the last $n$ quadrature weights, we have a weaker statement. By taking $f(x)=\pi_{n-1}(x) p_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x)$ with $\pi_{n-1}$ of degree $\leq n-1$, the quadrature formula (5.1) gives

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \pi_{n-1}(x) p_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x=\sum_{k=n+1}^{2 n} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)} p_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) \pi_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

This is not a Gaussian quadrature rule but the Lagrange interpolatory rule for the weight function $p_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)}$ with quadrature nodes at the zeros of $q_{n}$. So now we have the result:

LEMMA 5.2. The middle n quadrature weights for the first integral are

$$
\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)} p_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k, 3 n}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k, 3 n}\right)=w_{k, n}\left(q_{n}\right), \quad n+1 \leq k \leq 2 n
$$

where $w_{k, n}\left(q_{n}\right)$ are the quadrature weights for the Lagrange interpolatory quadrature at the zeros of $q_{n}$ and the weight function $p_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)}$.

In a similar way, we take $f(x)=\pi_{n-1}(x) p_{n}^{2}(x) q_{n}(x)$ with $\pi_{n-1}$ of degree $\leq n-1$ so that (5.1) becomes

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \pi_{n-1}(x) p_{n}^{2}(x) q_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x=\sum_{k=2 n+1}^{3 n} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)} p_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right) q_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) \pi_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

We then have:
LEMMA 5.3. The last $n$ quadrature weights for the first integral are

$$
\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)} p_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k, 3 n}\right) q_{n}\left(x_{k, 3 n}\right)=w_{k, n}\left(r_{n}\right), \quad 2 n+1 \leq k \leq 3 n
$$

where $w_{k, n}\left(r_{n}\right)$ are the quadrature weights for the Lagrange interpolatory quadrature at the zeros of $r_{n}$ and the weight function $p_{n}^{2}(x) q_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)}$.

Of course similar results are true for the quadrature weights $\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}$ for the second integral (5.2) and the quadrature weights $\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(3)}$ for the third integral (5.3).

The weight function $q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)}$ is not a positive weight on the whole real line, but it is positive on $[-b,-a]$ since the zeros of $q_{n}$ and $r_{n}$ are on $[-d, d]$ and $[a, b]$, respectively, at least when $n$ is large. We can prove the following result.

THEOREM 5.4. Let $\hat{c}$ be sufficiently large. ${ }^{1}$ For the quadrature weights of the first integral (5.1), one has

$$
\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}>0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sign} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}=(-1)^{k-n+1}, \quad n+1 \leq k \leq 3 n
$$

Proof. For the first $n$ weights we use $f(x)=p_{n}^{2}(x) q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) /\left(x-x_{k, 3 n}\right)^{2}$ in (5.1) to find (we write $x_{k}=x_{k, 3 n}$ )

$$
\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}\left[p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{2} q_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}} q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x
$$

[^1]Clearly $\left[p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{2} q_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)>0$ since $x_{k} \in[-b,-a]$ and the zeros of $q_{n}$ and $r_{n}$ are on $[-d, d]$ and $[a, b]$, respectively. So we need to prove that the integral is positive. Let $I_{1}=\left[-\frac{\hat{c}}{2}-\sqrt{4+1 / n},-\frac{\hat{c}}{2}+\sqrt{4+1 / n}\right]$. Then by Proposition 3.1 all the zeros of $p_{n}$ are in $I_{1}$, and hence $[-b,-a] \subset I_{1}$. If $\hat{c}$ is large enough, then $q_{n} r_{n}$ is positive on $I_{1}$, and by the infinite-finite range inequality (see Proposition 3.1)

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash I_{1}} \frac{p_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}}\left|q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x)\right| e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x>\int_{I_{1}} \frac{p_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}} q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}} q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x \\
& =\int_{I_{1}} \frac{p_{n}^{2}(x) q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}} e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash I_{1}} \frac{p_{n}^{2}(x) q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}} e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x>0
\end{aligned}
$$

For the middle $n$ quadrature weights we use Lemma 5.2. Clearly $p_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right)>0$ and sign $r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)=(-1)^{n}$ since all the zeros of $r_{n}$ are on the interval $[a, b]$ and $x_{k} \in[-d, d]$ for $n+1 \leq k \leq 2 n$. Furthermore for the Lagrange quadrature nodes one has

$$
w_{k, n}\left(q_{n}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q_{n}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right) q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)} p_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x
$$

where sign $q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)=(-1)^{k-2 n}$. Observe that for a large enough parameter $\hat{c}$ one obtains $\operatorname{sign} q_{n}(x) /\left(x-x_{k}\right)=(-1)^{n-1}$ on $I_{1}$ since all the zeros of $q_{n}$ are on $[-d, d]$ and also sign $r_{n}(x)=(-1)^{n}$ on $I_{1}$ since all the zeros of $r_{n}$ are on $[a, b]$. By the infinite-finite range inequality one has

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash I_{1}} \frac{\left|q_{n}(x)\right|}{\left|x-x_{k}\right|} p_{n}^{2}(x)\left|r_{n}(x)\right| e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x<-\int_{I_{1}} \frac{q_{n}(x)}{x-x_{k}} p_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x
$$

so that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q_{n}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right)} p_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x<0
$$

This gives $\operatorname{sign} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}=(-1)^{k-n+1}$ for $n+1 \leq k \leq 2 n$. In a similar way one finds the sign of $\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}$ for $2 n+1 \leq k \leq 3 n$ by using Lemma 5.3.

For the quadrature weights $\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}$ one has a similar result, which we state without proof.
THEOREM 5.5. Let $\hat{c}$ be sufficiently large. For the quadrature weights of the second integral (5.2) one has

$$
\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}>0, \quad n+1 \leq k \leq 2 n
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sign} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{k-n}, & 1 \leq k \leq n \\ (-1)^{k+1}, & 2 n+1 \leq k \leq 3 n\end{cases}
$$

Observe that the quadrature weights for the nodes outside $[-d, d]$ are alternating, but the weights for the nodes closest to $[-d, d]$ are positive.


FIg. 5.1. The quadrature weights $\lambda_{k, 30}^{(1)}$ for the first integral $(\hat{c}=4.7434)$.

For the quadrature nodes $\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(3)}$ one has the following result:
THEOREM 5.6. Let $\hat{c}$ be sufficiently large. For the quadrature weights of the third integral (5.3) one has

$$
\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(3)}>0, \quad 2 n+1 \leq k \leq 3 n
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sign} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(3)}=(-1)^{k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2 n
$$

Having positive quadrature weights is a nice property, as is well known for Gaussian quadrature. The alternating quadrature weights are not so nice, but we can show that they are exponentially small.

THEOREM 5.7. Suppose $\hat{c}$ is sufficiently large (see the footnote in Theorem 5.4). For the positive quadrature weights one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}\right)^{1 / n} \leq e^{-V_{1}(x)} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $x_{k} \rightarrow x \in(-b,-a)$. For the quadrature weights with alternating sign, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}\right|^{1 / n} \leq \exp \left(2 U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)-\ell_{1}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $x_{k, 3 n} \rightarrow x \in(-d, d) \cup(a, b)$.
Proof. Let $x \in(-b,-a)$. We use Lemma 5.1 to see that $\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)} q_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)=\lambda_{k, n}$, where $\lambda_{k, n}$ are the Gaussian quadrature weights for the weight function $q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n V_{1}(x)}$. We can use the Chebyshev-Markov-Stieltjes inequalities [12, Section 3.41] for the Gaussian quadrature weights to find

$$
\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)} q_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) \leq \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n V_{1}(x)} d x
$$

By the mean value theorem, we have

$$
\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x=\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k-1}\right) q_{n}\left(\xi_{n}\right) r_{n}\left(\xi_{n}\right) e^{-n V_{1}\left(\xi_{n}\right)}
$$

for some $\xi_{n} \in\left(x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}\right)$. Then, since $x_{k+1}-x_{k-1} \leq b-a$, we find

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}\right)^{1 / n} \leq e^{-V_{1}(x)}
$$

whenever $x_{k} \rightarrow x \in(-b,-a)$ since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|q_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|^{1 / n}=\exp \left(-U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|q_{n}\left(\xi_{n}\right)\right|^{1 / n}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|^{1 / n}=\exp \left(-U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|r_{n}\left(\xi_{n}\right)\right|^{1 / n}
$$

Let $x \in(-d, d)$. We use Lemma 5.2 to find

$$
\left|\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}\right|=\frac{1}{p_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right)\left|r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|\left|q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q_{n}(x)}{x-x_{k}} p_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x\right|
$$

For the polynomials $p_{n}$ and $r_{n}$ one has

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|p_{n}(x)\right|^{1 / n}=\exp \left(-U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)\right), \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|r_{n}(x)\right|=\exp \left(-U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)\right)
$$

uniformly in $x \in[-d, d]$, which already gives

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right)\left|r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|}=\exp \left(2 U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \mu_{3}\right)\right)
$$

For the integral we use the infinite-finite range inequality (see Proposition 3.1) to find

$$
\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q_{n}(x)}{x-x_{k}} p_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x\right| \leq 2 \int_{-I_{1}} \frac{\left|q_{n}(x)\right|}{\left|x-x_{k}\right|} p_{n}^{2}(x)\left|r_{n}(x)\right| e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x
$$

For $\hat{c}$ sufficiently large the intervals $I_{1},[-d, d]$, and $[a, b]$ are disjoint, hence for $x \in I_{1}$ and $x_{k} \in[-d, d]$ we have $\left|x-x_{k}\right|>\operatorname{dist}\left(I_{1},[-d, d]\right)=\delta_{1}$. We thus obtain

$$
\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q_{n}(x)}{x-x_{k}} p_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x\right| \leq \frac{2}{\delta_{1}} \int_{I_{1}}\left|q_{n}(x)\right| p_{n}^{2}(x)\left|r_{n}(x)\right| e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x
$$

Observe that the integrand is

$$
p_{n}^{2}(x)\left|q_{n}(x) r_{n}(x)\right| e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)}=\exp \left(-n\left(2 U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)+V_{1}(x)\right)\right)
$$

and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the $n$th root thus converges to $\exp \left(-\ell_{1}\right)$ when $x \in[-b,-a]$ or to a value less than or equal to $\exp \left(-\ell_{1}\right)$ when $x \notin[-b,-a]$. We thus have (see the third Corollary [10, p. 199] for an Angelesco system)

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{2}{\delta_{1}} \int_{I_{1}}\left|q_{n}(x)\right| p_{n}^{2}(x)\left|r_{n}(x)\right| e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)} d x\right)^{1 / n} \leq e^{-\ell_{1}}
$$

The behavior of the $n$th root of $\left|q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|$ is more difficult because we evaluate $q_{n}^{\prime}$ at a point in $(-d, d)$, which is in the support of $\nu_{2}$ where the zeros of $q_{n}$ are dense. Clearly $q_{n}^{\prime}$ has $n-1$ zeros between the zeros of $q_{n}$, and the asymptotic distribution of the zeros of $q_{n}^{\prime}$ is the same as that of $q_{n}$, hence $\left|q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{1 / n}$ converges to $\exp \left(-U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)\right)$ whenever $x \notin[-d, d]$. When $x_{k} \rightarrow x \in(-d, d)$ one can use the principle of descent [11, Theorem 6.8 in Chapter I] to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|^{1 / n} \leq \exp \left(-U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)\right), \quad x \in(-d, d) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the inequality in the other direction, we look at the quadrature weights $\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}$ for the second integral (5.2) corresponding to the nodes on $[-d, d]$ (the zeros of $q_{n}$ ). These nodes are positive and related to the Gaussian quadrature nodes for the orthogonal polynomials with the weight function $p_{n}(x) r_{n}(x) e^{-n x^{2}}$; see Theorem 5.5. The result corresponding to (5.4) is

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}\right)^{1 / n} \leq e^{-V_{2}(x)}
$$

On the other hand, by taking $f(x)=p_{n}(x) q_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x) /\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}$ in (5.2), we see that the quadrature weight $\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}$ satisfies

$$
\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)} p_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\left[q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}(x) q_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}} e^{-n x^{2}} d x
$$

Observe that the sign of $r_{n}(x)$ on $[-d, d]$ is $(-1)^{n}$. Hence by the infinite-finite range inequalities, one finds

$$
(-1)^{n} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)} p_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\left[q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{2}=\left(1+r_{n}\right) \int_{I_{2}} \frac{p_{n}(x) q_{n}^{2}(x) r_{n}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}} e^{-n x^{2}} d x
$$

where $\left|r_{n}\right|<1$. On $I_{2}$ we have that $\left|x-x_{k}\right| \leq \delta_{2}$, where $\delta_{2}$ is the length of $I_{2}$, hence

$$
(-1)^{n} \lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)} p_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\left[q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{2} \geq \frac{1+r_{n}}{\delta_{2}^{2}} \int_{I_{2}}\left|p_{n}(x)\right| q_{n}^{2}(x)\left|r_{n}(x)\right| e^{-n x^{2}} d x
$$

from which we find

$$
\left|q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \geq \frac{1+r_{n}}{\delta_{2}^{2}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}\left|p_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) r_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|} \int_{I_{2}}\left|p_{n}(x)\right| q_{n}^{2}(x)\left|r_{n}(x)\right| e^{-n x^{2}} d x
$$

By taking the $n$th root and by using the same reasoning as before, we thus find

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|^{2 / n} \geq \exp \left(V_{2}(x)+U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)-\ell_{2}\right)
$$

Since $x \in(-d, d)$, it follows from (4.3) that the right-hand side is $\exp \left(-2 U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)\right)$. Combined with (5.6) we then have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|^{1 / n}=e^{-U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)}
$$

whenever $x_{k} \rightarrow x \in(-d, d)$. Combining all these results gives (5.5) for $x_{k, 3 n} \rightarrow x \in(-d, d)$. The proof for $x_{k, 3 n} \rightarrow x \in(a, b)$ is obtained similarly using Lemma 5.3.

The results corresponding to the quadrature weights for the second integral (5.2) and the third integral (5.3) are as follows:

THEOREM 5.8. Suppose $\hat{c}$ is sufficiently large (see the footnote of Theorem 5.4). For the positive quadrature weights one has

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}\right)^{1 / n} \leq e^{-V_{2}(x)}
$$

whenever $x_{k} \rightarrow x \in(-d, d)$. For the quadrature weights with alternating sign, it holds that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}\right|^{1 / n} \leq \exp \left(U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+2 U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)-\ell_{2}\right)
$$

whenever $x_{k, 3 n} \rightarrow x \in(-b,-a) \cup(a, b)$.
THEOREM 5.9. Suppose $\hat{c}$ is sufficiently large (see the footnote of Theorem 5.4). For the positive quadrature weights one has

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(3)}\right)^{1 / n} \leq e^{-V_{3}(x)}
$$

whenever $x_{k} \rightarrow x \in(a, b)$. For the quadrature weights with alternating sign, it holds that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(3)}\right|^{1 / n} \leq \exp \left(U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)+U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)+2 U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)-\ell_{3}\right)
$$

whenever $x_{k, 3 n} \rightarrow x \in(-b,-a) \cup(-d, d)$.


(b) $U\left(y ; \nu_{2}^{(100)}\right)$
(a) $U\left(y ; \nu_{1}^{(100)}\right)$

(c) $U\left(y ; \nu_{3}^{(100)}\right)$

FIG. 5.2. The potentials $U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right), U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right), U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)$ approximated by using the zeros of $H_{100,100,100}$.

All the upper bounds in Theorems 5.7-5.9 depend on the logarithmic potentials $U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)$, $U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right), U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)$, and in particular on the linear combination of them that appears in the variational conditions (4.1)-(4.6). Observe that by combining (4.3) with (5.5) we find

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}\right|^{1 / n} \leq e^{-V_{2}(x)} \exp \left(\ell_{2}-U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)-\ell_{1}+U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)\right)
$$

whenever $x_{k} \rightarrow x \in(-d, d)$, and by using (4.5) we find

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}\right|^{1 / n} \leq e^{-V_{3}(x)} \exp \left(\ell_{3}-U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)-\ell_{1}+U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)\right)
$$

whenever $x_{k} \rightarrow x \in(a, b)$. Hence, on $(-d, d)$ the quadrature weights are bounded from above by $e^{-V_{2}(x)}$ times a factor which is small since $\ell_{2}-U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)-\ell_{1}+U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)<0$ on $[-d, d]$. On $(a, b)$ the quadrature weights are bounded by $e^{-V_{3}(x)}$ times an even smaller factor since $\ell_{3}=\ell_{1}$ (by symmetry) and $U\left(x ; \nu_{3}\right)>U\left(x ; \nu_{2}\right)>U\left(x ; \nu_{1}\right)$ for $x \in(a, b)$; see Figure 5.2. This makes the alternating quadrature weights exponentially small.

TABLE 6.1
The quadrature weights $\lambda_{k, 30}^{(1)}$ for the first integral $(\hat{c}=4.7434)$.

| $k$ | $\lambda_{k, 30}^{(1)}$ | $k$ | $\lambda_{k, 30}^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $6.88765386510^{-9}$ | 16 | $-5.20377843510^{-7}$ |
| 2 | $4.38411157810^{-6}$ | 17 | $1.65040314110^{-7}$ |
| 3 | $0.35919830310^{-3}$ | 18 | $-3.82282068610^{-8}$ |
| 4 | $0.81496176110^{-2}$ | 19 | $5.89063459410^{-9}$ |
| 5 | $0.68365006610^{-1}$ | 20 | $-4.84055101210^{-10}$ |
| 6 | 0.2410330694 | 21 | $1.10533252710^{-11}$ |
| 7 | 0.3725933960 | 22 | $-7.56266736710^{-12}$ |
| 8 | 0.2452710131 | 23 | $3.79321453810^{-12}$ |
| 9 | $0.60411356110^{-1}$ | 24 | $-1.40010491210^{-12}$ |
| 10 | $0.38090988510^{-2}$ | 25 | $3.76741585710^{-13}$ |
| 11 | $6.75552527810^{-6}$ | 26 | $-7.19303965710^{-14}$ |
| 12 | $-5.18988371510^{-6}$ | 27 | $9.26014644210^{-15}$ |
| 13 | $3.84839252010^{-6}$ | 28 | $-7.33149852010^{-16}$ |
| 14 | $-2.43463657010^{-6}$ | 29 | $2.97711792510^{-17}$ |
| 15 | $1.26179731510^{-6}$ | 30 | $-3.90329227410^{-19}$ |

6. Numerical example. In Table 6.1 and Figure 5.1 we give the quadrature weights $\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}$ for the zeros of $H_{10,10,10}$ with $c=15$, which after scaling by $\sqrt{10}$ corresponds to $\hat{c}=4.7434$. This clearly shows that the first 10 weights are positive and the remaining 20 weights are alternating in sign and very small in absolute value. The zeros and the quadrature weights behave in a similar way as for an Angelesco system (see [8]) when $\hat{c}$ is sufficiently large. Our scaling and the use of the weight functions

$$
w_{1}(x)=e^{-n\left(x^{2}+\hat{c} x\right)}, \quad w_{2}(x)=e^{-n x^{2}}, \quad w_{3}(x)=e^{\left.-n\left(x^{2}-\hat{c} c\right) x\right)}
$$

means that we are using the densities of normal distributions with means $-\hat{c} / 2,0, \hat{c} / 2$ and variance $\sigma^{2}=1 / 2 n$. In such case we can ignore the alternating weights and only use the positive quadrature weights $\left\{\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(1)}: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$ to approximate the first integral (5.1). In a similar way, when we approximate the second integral (5.2) we can ignore the alternating weights and only use the positive weights $\left\{\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(2)}: n+1 \leq k \leq 2 n\right\}$, and for approximating the third integral, one can only use $\left\{\lambda_{k, 3 n}^{(3)}: 2 n+1 \leq k \leq 3 n\right\}$.
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